#### **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# **Reinforcing potential of recycled carbon fibers in compatibilized polypropylene composites**

**Abbas Ghanbari1 · Shayan Seyedin<sup>2</sup> · Seyyed Arash Haddadi3 · Mohammadreza Nofar4 · Amir Ameli5**

Received: 31 August 2020 / Accepted: 22 March 2021 / Published online: 24 March 2021 © The Polymer Society, Taipei 2021

## **Abstract**

Materials that are low cost and lightweight are essential for future automotive and aerospace industries. While carbon fbers have been shown to achieve high mechanical properties for high-end applications, their high cost has generated interest to fnd cost-efective alternatives. The recent advances in recycling carbon fbers has created an opportunity to develop low cost composite materials. Using a maleic anhydride grafted polypropylene (MA-*g*-PP), the interfacial adhesion between the polypropylene (PP) matrix and the recycled carbon fbers is greatly improved. The integration of 20 wt% recycle carbon fbers result in 2.4 times, 4.9 times, and 5.7 times enhancements in tensile strength, notched Charpy impact strength, and fexural modulus, respectively. This enhancements in mechanical properties are signifcantly higher than those reported in previous works. The addition of recycled carbon fbers also increases the heat defection temperature (HDT) of the neat PP sample, indicating the higher resistance of the composite samples at high temperature. This work demonstrates the great potential of the recycled carbon fbers in manufacturing cost-efective and high-performance PP composites.

**Keywords** Recycled carbon fbers · Polypropylene composites · Mechanical properties · Compatibilizer

# **Introduction**

The light weight of manufactured products has become a key characteristic of advanced materials in modern industries. This is one of the key reasons scientists and engineers strive to develop polymer compounds and composites with low densities to replace metals and ceramics. The development of lightweight products made of short-fber reinforced polymer (SFRP) composites is growing in various industries, thanks to their ease of production and remarkable mechanical properties.

 $\boxtimes$  Abbas Ghanbari abbas.ghanbari@polymtl.ca

- <sup>1</sup> Département de Chimie, Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC H3C 3J7, Canada
- School or Engineering, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK
- <sup>3</sup> School of Engineering, University of British Columbia, Kelowna, BC V1V 1V7, Canada
- <sup>4</sup> Metallurgical & Materials Engineering Department, Faculty of Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering, Istanbul Technical University, Maslak, Istanbul 34469, Turkey
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Plastics Engineering, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Lowell, MA 01854, USA

Polypropylene (PP) is a widely used polymer because of its low density, low cost, chemical resistance, and good processability. However, its application in advanced products has been limited due to inadequate mechanical properties [[1–](#page-6-0)[4](#page-7-0)]. PP composites reinforced with particles and short fbers are commonly produced by mass production methods [\[5\]](#page-7-1). Glass fbers are typically used to reinforce commodity and engineering polymers [\[6\]](#page-7-2). However, carbon fbers are becoming more popular in advanced composites for high-tech industries, such as aerospace as well as luxury and sport cars, owing to their higher performance compared to glass fibers [[1](#page-6-0), [7–](#page-7-3)[11](#page-7-4)].

Advanced composites employed in structural engineering applications are either under high static loads or repeatedly subjected to impact loadings and high deformation rates. The fracture behavior of these composites is primarily evaluated by the Charpy and Izod impact tests. The following mechanisms contribute in dissipating energy during failure of SFRP: (1) plastic deformation, crazing, and microcracking of the matrix that take place in an area in front of the crack tip, that is absent in brittle matrices, (2) fiber breakage if its length is supercritical and the stress level exceeds beyond the local fber strength, (3) fber pull out when the fbers are not long enough ( i.e., subcritical length), and (4) fber-matrix debonding if the strength of the fber-matrix

interface is less than the applied load that is transferred to the fbers. Notably, when the fber fractures, the stored strain energy is mainly dissipated as heat and acoustic energy. Mechanical performance of composites is closely related to the interfacial stress transfer between the components and fber volume fraction. Efective transfer of stresses between matrix and fbers, which promotes fber breakage versus fber pull out, requires a strong interfacial adhesion.

The interfacial adhesion between the fibers and the matrix can be improved through fber surface modifcation or employing a coupling agent [[11](#page-7-4)[–22\]](#page-7-5). Wong et al*.* [\[16\]](#page-7-6) studied the infuence of various grades of polypropylenegrafted maleic anhydride (MA-*g*-PP) on PP composites containing recycled carbon fbers. Improved interfacial adhesion between the composites' components was observed for the compatibilized samples, leading to less polymer-fber debonding and fewer fber pull out. It was found that a higher anhydride content was more efficient in improving the tensile and fexural strengths while the maximum strength was governed by the molecular weight of the compatibilizer to achieve sufficient chain entanglements with the host matrix. Liang et al*.* [\[23](#page-7-7)] studied poly(butylene succinate) composites loaded with carbon fbers at various concentrations, from 5 wt% up to 20 wt%. They observed fber agglomeration at high fber concentrations, which weakened the level of mechanical properties reinforcement. Incorporation of 20 wt% carbon fber into the matrix led to an increase in tensile strength from  $\sim$  26 MPa to  $\sim$  62 MPa and  $\sim$  79% enhancement of impact strength while a dramatic reduction in elongation at break from~509% to~8% was observed. In another study, polyethylene (PE) composites containing up to 30 wt% recycled carbon fbers were produced via melt blending. No signifcant reduction in elongation at break was observed at composites containing 1, 3, and 5 wt% carbon fbers [[24](#page-7-8)]. In contrast, the elongation at break reduced signifcantly at higher concentrations of recycled carbon fbers, resulting in brittle composites. A 180% increase in the Young's modulus of the composites was reported as the result of incorporating 30 wt% recycled carbon fbers within the PE matrix.

The application of polymer composites reinforced with carbon fbers is rapidly growing. This is because the remarkable gain in the fnal properties such as strength and stifness compensates for the relatively high cost of carbon fbers. Since carbon fbers are generally more expensive than commodity and engineering polymers, achieving optimal fber content that meets the performance requirement of the desired application is crucial. Hence, in this study, recycled carbon fbers, which are around 70% less expensive than the virgin fbers, were used to reinforce PP. This work systematically explores the reinforcement potential of the recycled carbon fbers at various loading in PP composites. A coupling agent is employed to enhance the level of stress transfer between carbon fbers and the PP matrix. A fractographic study using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is performed to investigate the state of adhesion between the composites' components. To minimize fber breakage, only forward-conveying screw elements are used after the side feeder, where the carbon fbers are introduced. The potential of this screw profle at a low rotation speed in dispersing the recycled carbon fbers within the PP matrix is investigated. The morphology, stiffness, notched impact resistance, strength, deformability, processability, crystallization, and heat defection characteristics of the reinforced composites are evaluated at various loadings of the recycled fbers to comprehensively assess the performance of the developed composites. The fiber efficiency factor for various composites is determined according to the modifed rule of mixtures and the infuence of fber volume fraction on this parameter is discussed.

## **Experimental**

## **Materials**

A PP homopolymer, Adstif HA801U, produced by Lyondell-Basell, was used in this study. The melt fow index (MFI) of Adstif HA801U was measured as 64 g/10 min, suitable for high-speed injection molding of thin-walled parts. 3 wt% of

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Screw confguration of the twin-screw extruder with one mixing zone, consisting of elliptical kneading elements with staggering angles of 30° and 60°



a maleic anhydride-grafted polypropylene, MA-*g*-PP, (Polybond 3200, Crompton), with a maleic anhydride grafting level of 2 wt%, was employed as a compatibilizer. 40 mm chopped recycled carbon fbers were supplied by SGL Company and were mixed with the PP matrix at concentrations of 5, 10, and 20 wt%.



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 2** SEM images of the cross-section morphology of the PP composites containing 5 wt% (**a**), 10 wt% (**b**), and 20 wt% (**c**) recycled carbon fbers

#### **Sample preparation**

Carbon fber reinforced composites used in this work were manufactured by a twin-screw extruder with  $L/D = 36$ . A side feeder was employed to add the recycled carbon fbers. Melt compounding was performed at 100 rpm and a temperature profle of 180 °C to 230 °C. Figure [1](#page-1-0) exhibits the screw geometry of the extruder consisting of kneading elements with staggering angles of 30° and 60°. The extrudate was dried prior to molding ISO 527 Type 1A dumbbell-shaped test bars with a nominal gauge length, width, and thickness of 75 mm, 10 mm, and 4 mm, respectively, using a Battenfeld injection molding machine at  $\sim$  220 °C.

### **Characterization**

A Tinius Olsen machine H10KS tensometer equipped with a 10 kN load cell was used to evaluate tensile and fexural characteristics of the samples at a rate of 50 mm/min and 2 mm/min, according to ISO 527 [\[25](#page-7-9)], determination of tensile properties, and ISO 178 [\[26](#page-7-10)], determination of fexural properties, respectively. The notched Charpy impact strength at 23 °C was determined according to ISO 179 [\[27](#page-7-11)], determination of Charpy impact properties, using a pendulum impact tester (Testing Mchines Inc.). Between fve to ten samples were tested for evaluating the mechanical properties. Melt fow rate of the samples were measured using MP600 (Tinius Olsen) according to ISO 1133 [\[28](#page-7-12)], determination of the melt mass-fow rate, at 230 °C using a weight of 2.16 kg. The heat defection temperature (HDT) characterization was conducted under 1.82 MPa bending load according to ISO 75–1 [[29\]](#page-7-13), determination of temperature of defection under load, by employing 603 HDTM instrument (Tinius Olson).

Diferential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements were performed under a helium atmosphere (DSCQ1000, TA Instruments). The samples were heated from 20 °C to 200 °C and held at that temperature for 3 min, then cooled to 20 °C and heated again to 200 °C at a constant rate of 10 °C min−1. The state of fber dispersion in various samples was evaluated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a Hitachi S4700 microscope. SEM samples were prepared by cryogenically fracturing the neat polymer or composites in liquid nitrogen and coating with a 3 nm layer of gold before the analysis.

## **Results and discussion**

The morphology of the composites was examined by SEM to determine the state of carbon fber dispersion in the polymer matrix, the reinforcement mechanisms, and the state of interface between the matrix and the recycled fbers. The <span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Cryogenic breakage morphology of the PP composites reinforced with 5 wt% (**a**), 10 wt% (**b** and **c**), and 20 wt% (**d**) recycled carbon fbers



observation of the surface morphology and cross section of the composites revealed that the carbon fbers were dispersed relatively uniformly in the composites and there were no obvious agglomerations. The surface morphology of the composites (not shown here) exhibited that carbon fbers were preferentially aligned in the direction of flow. This preferential orientation of the carbon fbers within the PP matrix can be better observed in the cryogenically fractured cross section of the composites (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)). Injection molded fber reinforced thermoplastics usually exhibit a marked fber orientation in the fow direction and are consequently anisotropic. This preferential orientation is the result of the shear



stresses that the molten composites experience while flling the mold  $[1, 8, 30]$  $[1, 8, 30]$  $[1, 8, 30]$  $[1, 8, 30]$  $[1, 8, 30]$  $[1, 8, 30]$ .

Detailed analysis of the cryogenically fractured cross section of the composites (Figs. [2](#page-2-0) and [3](#page-3-0)) revealed that the majority of the carbon fbers at the fracture surface were broken. This observation suggests that there is a strong interaction between PP and carbon fbers emanating from the good compatibility of the composite components. Some carbon fbers were pulled out of the PP matrix leaving holes within the fractured surface. The mechanical characteristics of the composites are governed by the microfailure mechanisms at the fber/matrix interface. Careful observation of



<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Fig. 4** Impact strength of the neat PP homopolymer and its composites reinforced with various concentrations of the recycled carbon fbers

<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Fig. 5** Flexural modulus of the neat PP homopolymer and its composites reinforced with various concentrations of the recycled carbon fibers

fber volume fraction

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

the SEM micrographs at higher magnifcations (Fig. [3\)](#page-3-0) indicated that the cohesive failure of the matrix close to the interface caused the interfacial microfailure, rather than fber/matrix debonding. The arrow in Fig. [3](#page-3-0)c indicates the elongated strips of the matrix at the interface that prevents fber debonding and pullout. Further, carbon fbers were also covered with residual polymer, confrming the strong fber/matrix interfacial adhesion. These results imply that carbon fbers are well embedded within the compatibilized PP matrix.

Figure [4](#page-3-1) presents the impact strength of the PP homopolymer and its carbon-fber reinforced composites. The impact strength of the matrix increased monotonically with the carbon fber's concentration whereby at 20 wt% recycled carbon fbers, the notched Charpy impact strength of the homopolymer showed 390% enhancement (from ~ 1.1 kJ m<sup>-2</sup> to ~ 5.2 kJ m<sup>-2</sup>). Crack propagation in the notched composites is hindered by fber pull-out, interfacial debonding, fber bridging, and matrix plastic deformation [\[7,](#page-7-3) [18](#page-7-16)]. Since brittle matrices like PP homopolymers have low impact strengths, the matrix-dominated energy abortion mechanisms are marginal in these composites. Thus, the improvement in impact strength of the composites is mainly associated to extrinsic toughening mechanisms induced by the fbers [\[7](#page-7-3)].

The fexural modulus of the neat matrix and composites was also measured to investigate the bending resistance of the produced composites (Fig. [5](#page-3-2)). A steady increase in stifness of the composites was observed with the carbon

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Table 1** Summary of the mechanical properties of the neat PP homopolymer and the reinforced composites containing 5, 10, and 20 wt% recycled carbon fbers

| Sample Name | Impact<br>Strength (kJ<br>$m^{-2}$ ) | lus (MPa)       | Flexural Modu- Tensile Strength<br>(MPa) |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Neat PP     | $1.1 \pm 0.2$                        | $2,084 \pm 59$  | $34.2 + 1.9$                             |
| PP-5 CF     | $3.2 \pm 0.2$                        | $4,482 \pm 37$  | $53.0 + 3.5$                             |
| $PP-10$ CF  | $4.3 \pm 0.3$                        | $7.670 \pm 88$  | $72.8 \pm 4.4$                           |
| $PP-20$ CF  | $5.2 + 0.1$                          | $11,900 \pm 56$ | $83.0 + 4.3$                             |

fber's concentration. The composites reinforced with 20 wt% recycled carbon fbers exhibited a fexural modulus of 11,900 MPa, which is around 5.7 times larger than that of the neat polymer. This enhancement in modulus is the result of an efective load transfer from the PP matrix to the rigid carbon fbers. The improvement of fexural modulus achieved in this study is higher than the enhancement value of just below 4 times reported for PP composites reinforced with the same concentration of recycled carbon fibers [\[19](#page-7-17)]. This result indicates that the highly reinforced PP composites with recycled carbon fbers produced in this work can withstand high bending deformations and thus have the potential to be used as structural parts.

The analysis of the tensile properties of the neat matrix and composites (Fig. [6\)](#page-4-0) showed that the tensile strength of the matrix increased steadily with the carbon fiber concentration from~34 MPa for the neat PP polymer to 83 MPa for the composite with 20 wt% recycled carbon fbers. This is equivalent to a remarkable enhancement of 144% in tensile strength, which is signifcantly higher than the enhancement values of 77% and 114% reported in the literature at the same carbon fiber concentration  $[2, 3]$  $[2, 3]$  $[2, 3]$  $[2, 3]$ . The tensile strength of composite systems can be explained by the rule of mixtures. The extended form of rule of mixtures presented by  $Cox$  [\[31](#page-7-18)] and Krenchel [[32](#page-7-19)] takes into account the effects of



<span id="page-4-2"></span>Fig. 7 HDT of the neat PP homopolymer and its composites reinforced with various concentrations of the recycled carbon fbers



<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 8** MFI of the neat PP homopolymer and its composites reinforced with various concentrations of the recycled carbon fbers

fber length and orientation. The modifed rule of mixtures (Eq. [1](#page-5-0)) with a fiber orientation factor  $\eta_0$  and a fiber length efficiency factor  $\eta_1$  was used to model the tensile strength of the composites  $\sigma_c$  and to evaluate the fiber efficiency factor  $λ$  at various concentrations:

$$
\sigma_c = \lambda \sigma_f V_f + \sigma_m (1 - V_f) \tag{1}
$$

$$
\lambda = \eta_0 \times \eta_1 \tag{2}
$$

where  $\sigma_f$  and  $\sigma_m$  are fiber and matrix strength, respectively and  $V_f$  is the volume fraction of the fibers in the composites.

In this study, the fiber orientation factor  $\eta_0$  was assumed to be equal to 1, as the fbers showed high alignment along the flow direction in all composites. Hence, the fiber efficiency factor in injection molded composites with oriented fbers is mainly infuenced by fber length [\[1](#page-6-0)]. For carbon fbers composites in this work, the fiber efficiency factor decreased with increasing carbon fber concentration (inset in Fig. [6a](#page-4-0)). This is because, at high concentrations, the probability of fber

attrition increases due to fiber–fiber and fiber-equipment interactions during processing. Accordingly, the reduction of fber length is more pronounced at higher fber concentrations which is refected in relatively lower enhancements in tensile strength at high fiber loadings [[30\]](#page-7-15). Figure [6b](#page-4-0) shows the elongation at break of the neat PP homopolymer and the reinforced composites. The elongation at break of the neat polypropylene homopolymer is fairly low  $(\sim 3\%)$ . The neat samples and the reinforced composites fractured in a brittle manner with very low deformations. The introduction of the fbers reduced the tensile strain of the samples to even lower values due to higher stress concentrations at the fber ends, which facilitates microcrack initiation. Moreover, carbon fbers are almost nondeformable and hinder polymer chains from sliding one another, during tensile testing, due to the strong interfacial adhesions. Table [1](#page-4-1) summarizes the values of tensile, fexural, and impact properties of the neat samples and composites reinforced with the recycled carbon fbers at various loadings.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>HDT measurements were performed to assess the maximum temperature at which the reinforced composites can be used as a rigid material (Fig. [7](#page-4-2)). The comparison of the neat PP homopolymer and the reinforced composites (under a load of 1.82 MPa) showed that the presence of carbon fbers led to a signifcant enhancement of HDT in the reinforced composites. For instance, by introducing just 5 wt% recycled carbon fbers, the HDT of the samples increased by 64 °C compared to the neat polymer. The HDT of the reinforced composite further increased to  $\sim$  151 °C upon incorporating 20 wt% recycled carbon fbers (79 °C higher than HDT of the neat polymer). The higher HDT of the composites reinforced with the carbon fbers could be due to the modulus enhancement and the restricted relaxation of polymer chains in the presence of the fbers at high temperatures. This restricted relaxation of polymer chains is caused by the strong interactions between the fbers and the PP matrix due to the presence of the MA-*g*-PP compatibilizer.



<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Fig. 9** Thermal properties of the neat PP homopolymer and its composites reinforced with various concentrations of the recycled carbon fbers

The MFI of the polypropylene homopolymer and the composites were measured to evaluate the efect of carbon fbers, at various loadings, on the processability of the composites. Incorporation of fllers and reinforcing particles into thermoplastic polymers usually increases viscoelastic properties of the matrix. Figure [8](#page-5-1) exhibits that the fowability of the matrix reduces almost exponentially by fiber concentration. The higher melt viscosity of the composites is attributed to fber entanglement and network formation, which is more pronounced at higher concentrations, perturbing the fow of molten polymer. Moreover, restriction of macromolecules mobility due to strong interactions between the fbers and polymer chains may hinder fowability of the matrix. The introduction of 20 wt% carbon fber reduces the MFI value of the matrix to ca. 8 dg min−1, confrming that injection molding, as a common processing technique, can be employed to produce composite parts even from the highly reinforced samples [\[33](#page-7-20)[–36](#page-7-21)].

DSC has also been used to explore thermal properties of the neat and composite samples. The DSC thermograms of the neat and composite samples (Fig. [9\)](#page-5-2) showed that the addition of the recycled carbon fbers had no remarkable infuence on melting temperature of the reinforced composites. The DSC results were further analyzed and the thermal characteristics of the samples were summarized in Table [2.](#page-6-3) While the melting temperature  $(T_m)$  was constant at  $\sim$  166°, the hot crystallization temperature ( $T_{hc}$ ) reduced from  $\sim$  132 $\degree$  to  $\sim$  123 $\degree$  with the addition of carbon fibers. Several factors afect nucleation and growth of crystals in polymers and composites. The strong interfacial adhesion between the fbers and polymer chains restricts the migration and difusion of the macromolecules to the surface of the nuclei, leading to lower  $T_{hc}$  [[37–](#page-7-22)[40](#page-8-0)]. It seems that the heterogeneous nucleating effect of carbon fibers on  $T_{hc}$  is suppressed by macromolecular mobility hindrance. The degree of crystallinity  $(\chi_c)$  of the samples was also calculated according to the Eq. [3](#page-6-4):

$$
\chi_c = \frac{\Delta H_m}{w_m \times \Delta H^\circ} \tag{3}
$$

where  $\Delta H_m$ ,  $w_m$ , and  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  are the melting enthalpy, matrix weight fraction, and the enthalpy of fusion of a pure crystalline

<span id="page-6-3"></span>**Table 2** DSC analysis results of the neat PP homopolymer and the reinforced composites containing 5, 10, and 20 wt% recycled carbon fibers

| Sample Name     | $T_{bc}$ <sup>(°</sup> C) | $T_m$ <sup>o</sup> C) | $\Delta H_m(\text{J g}^{-1})$ | $\chi_c(\%)$ |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Neat PP         | 132.1                     | 166.9                 | 113.2                         | 54.7         |
| $PP-5$ CF       | 121.5                     | 166.2                 | 97.2                          | 49.4         |
| <b>PP-10 CF</b> | 122.9                     | 165.2                 | 90.1                          | 48.4         |
| <b>PP-20 CF</b> | 122.7                     | 167.1                 | 82.1                          | 49.6         |

PP (~207 J g<sup>-1</sup> [[41\]](#page-8-1)), respectively. The crystalline content of the composites reduced slightly with the addition of carbon fibers. For instance,  $\chi_c$  of the composite sample was ~ 49.6 which is  $\sim$  9% lower than that of the neat matrix ( $\sim$  54.7). This decrease in  $\chi_c$  could be due to the immobilization of the matrix chains due to the addition of carbon fbers, which prevents them from obtaining ordered crystal lattice alignment, thus obstructing the crystal growth in the matrix [[37–](#page-7-22)[40\]](#page-8-0).

# **Conclusion**

Polypropylene composites containing recycled carbon fbers at various concentrations were prepared by extrusion followed by injection molding. To maximize the reinforcement potential of the carbon fbers, the interfacial shear strength between the matrix and the carbon fbers was enhanced using a polypropylene grafted maleic anhydride compatibilizer. The morphological assessment revealed that the recycled carbon fbers were uniformly distributed and individually dispersed within the matrix even at the high concentration of 20 wt%. The composites exhibited a remarkably higher tensile strength, fexural modulus, impact strength, and heat deflection temperature compared to the neat matrix. The results showed that the fiber efficiency factor for tensile strength decreased with increasing fber volume fraction. Detailed morphological characterizations indicated that the interfacial microfailure was predominantly induced by the cohesive failure of the matrix at the vicinity of the carbon fber/matrix interface, rather than debonding between the composite components. The thermal characterization of the samples showed that while melting point was not infuenced by the addition of the carbon fbers to PP, the hot crystallization temperature and the crystalline content of PP reduced slightly. This work demonstrated the great potential of recycled carbon fbers in the manufacturing of cost-efective high-performance polypropylene composites.

# <span id="page-6-4"></span>**References**

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>1. Fu SY, Lauke B, Mäder E, Yue CY, Hu X (2000) Tensile properties of short-glass-fber- and short-carbon-fber-reinforced polypropylene composites. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf 31:1117–1125. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-835X(00)00068-3) [doi.org/10.1016/S1359-835X\(00\)00068-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-835X(00)00068-3)
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>2. Karsli NG, Aytac A (2011) Efects of maleated polypropylene on the morphology, thermal and mechanical properties of short carbon fber reinforced polypropylene composites. Mater Des 32:4069–4073.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2011.03.021>
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>3. Kada D, Koubaa A, Tabak G, Migneault S, Garnier B, Boudenne A (2018) Tensile properties, thermal conductivity, and thermal stability of short carbon fber reinforced polypropylene composites. Polym Compos 39:E664–E670. [https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.24093) [24093](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.24093)
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>4. Rezaei F, Yunus R, Ibrahim NA, Mahdi ES (2008) Development of short-carbon-fber-reinforced polypropylene composite for car bonnet. Polym - Plast Technol Eng 47:351–357. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1080/03602550801897323) [10.1080/03602550801897323](https://doi.org/10.1080/03602550801897323)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>5. Nofar M, Ozgen E, Girginer B (2019) Injection-molded PP composites reinforced with talc and nanoclay for automotive applications. J Thermoplast Compos Mater 1–21. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1177/0892705719830461) [1177/0892705719830461](https://doi.org/10.1177/0892705719830461)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>6. Nofar M, Hoa SV, Pugh MD (2009) Failure detection and monitoring in polymer matrix composites subjected to static and dynamic loads using carbon nanotube networks. Compos Sci Technol 69:1599–1606. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2009.03.010>
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>7. Landel RF, Nielsen LE (1993) Mechanical Properties of Polymers and Composites, 2nd edn. Taylor & Francis Group CRC Press, Broken Sound Pkwy
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>8. Kossentini Kallel T, Taktak R, Guermaz N, Mnif N (2018) Mechanical and structural properties of glass fber-reinforced polypropylene (PPGF) composites. Polym Compos 39:3497–3508. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.24369) [10.1002/pc.24369](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.24369)
- 9. Ghanbari A, Behzadfar E, Arjmand M (2019) Properties of talc flled reactor-made thermoplastic polyolefn composites. J Polym Res 26:241.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10965-019-1902-6>
- 10. Chin WK, Yang SW (1995) Mechanical properties of short fber reinforced thermoplastic composites -I. Elastic properties and predictions. J Polym Res 2:31–37.<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01493431>
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>11. Rajak D, Pagar D, Menezes P, Linul E (2019) Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Composites: Manufacturing, Properties, and Applications. Polymers (Basel) 11:1667.<https://doi.org/10.3390/polym11101667>
- 12. Thomason JL, Groenewoud WM, (1996) The infuence of fbre length and concentration on the properties of glass fbre reinforced polypropylene: 2. Thermal properties. Compos Part A Appl Sci Manuf 27:555– 565. [https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-835X\(96\)00016-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/1359-835X(96)00016-4)
- 13. Ghanbari A, Jalili NS, Haddadi SA, Arjmand M, Nofar M (2020) Mechanical properties of extruded glass fber reinforced thermoplastic polyolefn composites. Polym Compos 1–10. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.25672) [org/10.1002/pc.25672](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.25672)
- 14. Ngo T-D, Nofar M, Ton-That M-T, Hu W (2016) Flax and its thermoplastic biocomposites. J Compos Mater 50:3043–3051. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1177/0021998315615407) [doi.org/10.1177/0021998315615407](https://doi.org/10.1177/0021998315615407)
- 15. Tjong SC, Xu SA, Mai YW (2003) Impact fracture toughness of short glass fber-reinforced polyamide 6,6 hybrid composites containing elastomer particles using essential work of fracture concept. Mater Sci Eng A 347:338–345. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-5093(02)00609-3) [S0921-5093\(02\)00609-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-5093(02)00609-3)
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>16. Wong KH, Syed Mohammed D, Pickering SJ, Brooks R (2012) Efect of coupling agents on reinforcing potential of recycled carbon fbre for polypropylene composite. Compos Sci Technol 72:835–844.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2012>
- 17. Ching ECY, Li RKY, Tjong SC, Mai Y-W (2003) Essential work of fracture (EWF) analysis for short glass fber reinforced and rubber toughened nylon-6. Polym Eng Sci 43:558–569. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/pen.10045) [doi.org/10.1002/pen.10045](https://doi.org/10.1002/pen.10045)
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>18. Laura DM, Keskkula H, Barlow JW, Paul DR (2000) Efect of glass fiber and maleated ethylene-propylene rubber content on tensile and impact properties of Nylon 6. Polymer (Guildf) 41:7165–7174. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-3861\(00\)00049-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-3861(00)00049-5)
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>19. Altay L, Atagur M, Akyuz O, Seki Y, Sen I, Sarikanat M, Sever K (2018) Manufacturing of recycled carbon fber reinforced polypropylene composites by high speed thermo-kinetic mixing for lightweight applications. Polym Compos 39:3656–3665. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.24394) [doi.org/10.1002/pc.24394](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.24394)
- 20. Gulrez SKH, Mohsin MEA, Al-Zahrani SM (2013) Studies on crystallization kinetics, microstructure and mechanical properties of diferent short carbon fber reinforced polypropylene (SCF/ PP) composites. J Polym Res 20:1–9. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10965-013-0265-7) [s10965-013-0265-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10965-013-0265-7)
- 21. Ni Q, Zhu X, Wang Y, Liu Z (2011) Microstructure and properties of polypropylene/glass fiber composites grafted with poly(pentaerythritol triacrylate). J Polym Res 18:917–926. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10965-010-9489-y) [doi.org/10.1007/s10965-010-9489-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10965-010-9489-y)
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>22. Saleem A, Frormann L, Iqbal A (2007) Mechanical, thermal and electrical resisitivity properties of thermoplastic composites flled with carbon fbers and carbon particles. J Polym Res 14:121–127. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10965-006-9091-5>
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>23. Liang J, Ding C, Wei Z, Sang L, Song P, Chen G, Chang Y, Xu J, Zhang W (2015) Mechanical, morphology, and thermal properties of carbon fber reinforced poly(butylene succinate) composites. Polym Compos 36:1335–1345.<https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.23038>
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>24. McNally T, Boyd P, McClory C, Bien D, Moore I, Millar B, Davidson J, Carroll T (2008) Recycled carbon fber flled polyethylene composites. J Appl Polym Sci 107:2015–2021. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/app.27253) [doi.org/10.1002/app.27253](https://doi.org/10.1002/app.27253)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>25. Plastics (2019) Determination of tensile properties. Part 1: General principles, International Organization for Standardization. ISO Standard No. 527–1
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>26. Plastics (2019) Determination of fexural properties, International Organization for Standardization. ISO Standard No. 178
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>27. Plastics (2010) Determination of Charpy impact properties. Part 1: Non-instrumented impact test, International Organization for Standardization. ISO Standard No. 179–1
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>28. Plastics (2011) Determination of the melt mass-fow rate (MFR) and melt volume-fow rate (MVR) of thermoplastics. Part 1: Standard method, International Organization for Standardization. ISO Standard No. 1133
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>29. Plastics (2020) Determination of temperature of defection under load. Part 1: General test method, International Organization for Standardization. ISO Standard No. 75–1
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>30. Karsli NG, Aytac A, Deniz V (2012) Efects of initial fber length and fber length distribution on the properties of carbonfber-reinforced-polypropylene composites. J Reinf Plast Compos 31:1053–1060.<https://doi.org/10.1177/0731684412452678>
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>31. Cox HL (1952) The elasticity and strength of paper and other fbrous materials. Br J Appl Phys 3:72. [https://doi.org/10.1088/](https://doi.org/10.1088/0508-3443/3/3/302) [0508-3443/3/3/302](https://doi.org/10.1088/0508-3443/3/3/302)
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>32. Krenchel H (1964) Fibre reinforcement : theoretical and practical investigations of the elasticity and strength of fbre-reinforced materials. Akademisk Forlag, Copenhagen,  [https://lib.ugent.be/](https://lib.ugent.be/catalog/rug01:001280222) [catalog/rug01:001280222](https://lib.ugent.be/catalog/rug01:001280222) Accessed 27 July 2020
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>33. Ghanbari A, Mousavi Z, Heuzey MC, Patience GS, Carreau PJ (2020) Experimental Methods in Chemical Engineering: Rheometry. Can J Chem Eng 98:1456–1470. [https://doi.org/10.1002/cjce.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cjce.23749) [23749](https://doi.org/10.1002/cjce.23749)
- 34. Xu XF, Ghanbari A, Leelapornpisit W, Heuzey MC, Carreau P (2011) Efect of ionomer on barrier and mechanical properties of PET/Organoclay nanocomposites prepared by melt compounding. Int Polym Process 26:444–455. <https://doi.org/10.3139/217.2477>
- 35. Ghanbari A, Heuzey M-C, Carreau PJ, Ton-That M-T (2013) Morphological and rheological properties of PET/clay nanocomposites. Rheol Acta 52:59–74. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00397-012-0667-1) [s00397-012-0667-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00397-012-0667-1)
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>36. Ghanbari A, Heuzey M-C, Carreau PJ, Ton-That M-T (2013) Morphology and properties of polymer/organoclay nanocomposites based on poly(ethylene terephthalate) and sulfopolyester blends. Polym Int 62:439–448.<https://doi.org/10.1002/pi.4331>
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>37. Ghanbari A, Prud'homme RE (2017) Lamellar and spherulitic crystallization of poly(s-2-hydroxybutanoic acid) and its stereocomplexes. Polymer (Guildf) 112: 377–384. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2017.02.018) [1016/j.polymer.2017.02.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2017.02.018)
- 38. Liu W, Mohanty AK, Drzal LT, Misra M, Kurian JV, Miller RW, Strickland N (2005) Injection molded glass fber reinforced poly(trimethylene terephthalate) composites: Fabrication and

properties evaluation. Ind Eng Chem Res 44:857–862. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie049112f) [doi.org/10.1021/ie049112f](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie049112f)

- 39. Ghanbari A, Heuzey MC, Carreau PJ, Ton-That MT (2013) A novel approach to control thermal degradation of PET/organoclay nanocomposites and improve clay exfoliation. Polymer (Guildf) 54:1361–1369.<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POLYMER.2012.12.066>
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>40. Ren X, Wang XQ, Sui G, Zhong WH, Fuqua MA, Ulven CA (2008) Efects of carbon nanofbers on crystalline structures and properties of ultrahigh molecular weight polyethylene blend fabricated using twin-screw extrusion. J Appl Polym Sci 107:2837– 2845.<https://doi.org/10.1002/app.27354>
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>41. Moballegh L, Hakim S, Morshedian J, Nekoomanesh M (2015) A new approach to increase toughness of synthesized PP/EPR inreactor blends by introducing a copolymerization step under low ethylene concentration. J Polym Res 22:1–11. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10965-015-0709-3) [1007/s10965-015-0709-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10965-015-0709-3)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.